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In the general case of inner flows using a quasi-one-dimensional treatment of channel flows, hydrodynamic conservation laws in the integral form lead to an unclosed system of equations. Additional hypotheses are used to solve it, when dealing with problems on flow distribution from a channel (or inflow into a channel) through side branches. Thus, for example, Orlov and Mazing [1] assume a kinematic relation for the flows in the main channel and side branches, Petrov [2] uses hydrostatic relations for the inlet part of a side branch, Pavlov and Yaushev [3] replace the vector equation of motion by a scalar relation, having a hydraulic loss coefficient, and take the flow reducing ratio equal to unity in the side branch, when solving problems on decomposition of an arbitrary discontinuity on local resistances of different kinds; and Stepanov and Chudinov identify the supersonic stream efflux from the channel through the branch with the stream efflux from a half-infinite space through a slot in a thin plate [4].

A generalization of the hypothesis of [5] that the thermodynamic function (the coefficient of restoration $\sigma_{p}$ ) does not depend explicitly on the geometry of the channel and branches is used in the present work for solving the above-mentioned problem.

1. A channel segment with a generally variable transverse cross section within which an arbitrary number $m$ of differently oriented branches are fit in a side wall is considered. The sections $S^{-}$and $S^{+}$restrict the zone of flow rearrangement in the channel (Fig. 1), the sections $S_{m k}$ of the branches are chosen where the assumption on one-dimensionality of the flow becomes acceptable (in the minimal section of the jet for the efflux case). Under the assumption used in [5], the hydrodynamic laws for the volume of the channel and branches between the mentioned sections yield the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (S \rho v)^{+}=(S \rho v)^{-}-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\varepsilon S \rho v_{n}\right)_{m k}, \\
& (\alpha S \rho v \mathbf{v}+S \mathrm{n} p)^{+}-(\alpha S \rho v \mathbf{v}-S \mathrm{n} p)^{-}+p_{\sigma} \int_{\sigma+\sum S_{k}} \mathrm{n} d S-\int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+S^{-+}+S^{+}} \tau_{n}^{*} d S \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\{\left(\alpha S \rho v_{n}^{2}\right)_{m k} \int_{(\varepsilon S)_{m k}} \mathrm{n} d S+p_{m k} \int_{(\varepsilon S)_{m k}} \mathrm{n} d S+p_{\delta k} \int_{(1-\varepsilon) S_{m k}} \mathrm{n} d S+p_{\delta k}\left[\int_{S_{k A}} \mathrm{n} d S+\nu_{k} \int_{\sigma_{k}} \mathrm{n} d S\right]\right.  \tag{1.1}\\
& \left.+p_{\sigma k}\left[\int_{S_{k B}} \mathbf{n} d S+\left(1-\nu_{k}\right) \int_{\sigma_{k}} \mathbf{n} d S\right]-p_{\sigma} \int_{S_{k}^{-}} \mathrm{n} d S-\int_{\sigma_{t k}+S_{m k}} \tau_{n}^{*} d S\right\}=0, \\
& (S \rho v H)^{+}-(S \rho v H)^{-}+\int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+S^{-}+S^{+}}\left(q_{n}^{*}-\tau_{n}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) d S+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left\{\left(\varepsilon S \rho v_{n} H\right)_{m k}+\int_{(\varepsilon S)_{m k}+\sigma_{\mathrm{t} k}}\left(q_{n}^{*}-\tau_{n}^{*} \cdot \mathbf{v}\right) d S\right\}=0, \quad p=\rho R T .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $p, \rho, v, T$ are the pressure, density, velocity, and temperature; $H=\beta v^{2} / 2+\gamma p /(\gamma-1) \rho ; \alpha, \beta$ are the coefficients of heterogeneity of the velocity field; $\varepsilon$ is the flow reducing ratio in side branches; $\mathbf{n}$ is the normal
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Fig. 1
vector; $\tau_{n}^{*}, \mathbf{q}^{*}$ are the stress and heat flux vectors (including viscosity and turbulence effects); $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{t} k}$ are the surfaces of the stream tubes for a transit flow and for that inflowing into or outflowing from the side branch. When writing down the equation of motion, a difference between the average pressure $p_{\delta k}$ in the flow separation zone and $p_{m k}$ in the minimal section of the jet is permitted, which is confirmed by considerable pressure gradients in separation zones for the efflux of supersonic streams [6]; $p_{\sigma}$ and $p_{\sigma k}$ are the average pressures on the side surface of the channel and the side surface of the $k$ th branch (within the limits of the contact of the jet and branch surfaces) respectively. The regions of action of the branch surface pressures $p_{\delta k}$ and $p_{\sigma k}$ depend, in the general case, on branch orientation (the angle $\chi_{k}$, see Fig. 1) and its shape. We assume for simplicity that the section $S_{m k}$ is rectangular. Then the regions of action of these pressures can be described by means of the coefficient $\nu_{k}=\left(1-\operatorname{sign} \chi_{k}\right) / 2$, where the sign of $\chi_{k}$ is positive when the branch axis deviates counterclockwise from the normal to the surface $\sigma$. In this case, the lengths of the surface parts $S_{k A}$ and $S_{k B}$ are the same and can be determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{k}=\left(x_{D}^{\prime} / a_{m}-\nu_{1} \tan \chi\right)_{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{1 k}=\nu_{k} \operatorname{sign} \chi_{k} ; a_{m k}$ is the branch width, $x_{D k}^{\prime}$ is the coordinate of the point $D_{k}$ on the boundary of the jet minimal section (see below); $b_{k}=0$ if the right-hand side of (1.2) is not positive.

The subsequent transformations of Eqs. (1.1) differ from [5] only by greater awkwardness and may be omitted. The only essential point is that the pressures $p_{\sigma}$ and $p_{\sigma k}$ or their weight coefficients $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$ appear here as "superfluous" $m+1$ unknowns: $p_{\sigma}=\varphi_{p} p^{+}+\left(1-\varphi_{p}\right) p^{-}, p_{\sigma k}=\varphi_{p k} p_{m k}+\left(1-\varphi_{p k}\right) p_{\sigma}$. Then one can write the original equations as

$$
\begin{gather*}
(S \rho v)^{+}=\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)(S \rho v)^{-}, \quad\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right) H^{+}=H^{-}+\Delta_{H}\left(a^{-}\right)^{2} \\
\left(\alpha S \rho v^{2}\right)^{+}+p^{+} \sigma^{+}=\left(\alpha S \rho v^{2}\right)^{-}+p^{-} \sigma^{-}-\left(S \rho v^{2}\right) \Delta_{\tau}^{*}-\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(p^{-}-p_{\delta k}\right) S_{m k} k_{3 k}  \tag{1.3}\\
\varepsilon_{m k}\left(p_{m k}-p_{\delta k}\right)+\left(\varepsilon \rho v_{n}^{2}\right)_{m k}\left(\alpha_{m k}+\Delta_{\tau k} / n_{m k r}\right) k_{1 k}=k_{2 k}\left(p_{\sigma}-p_{\delta k}\right), \quad k=1, m \tag{1.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (1.4) are the consequence of the equation of motion in a projection on the $y$ - and $z$-axes; they permit the pressures $p_{m k}$ to be eliminated from (1.3). Further on, Eqs. (1.4) are used for determining the unknowns $\Delta_{G k}$. The coefficients $k_{i k}$ in (1.4) contain unknowns $\varphi_{p k}$, describe the form and orientation of branches, and, for the plane flows in branches, are reduced to the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1 k}=\left(1+\varphi_{p k} \psi_{5 k} / \varepsilon_{m k}\right)^{-1}, \quad k_{2 k}=k_{1 k}\left(\psi_{7 k}-\psi_{5 k}+\varphi_{p k} \psi_{5 k}\right), \\
& k_{3 k}=k_{1 k}\left(\psi_{1 k}+\varphi_{p k} \psi_{2 k}\right), \quad k_{4 k}=k_{1 k}\left(\psi_{3 k}+\varphi_{p k} \psi_{4 k} / \varepsilon_{m k}\right), \\
& \psi_{1 k}=\left(-\tan \chi_{k}+q_{k}\right) / \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right), \quad \psi_{2 k}=\left(1-\varepsilon_{m k}\right) q_{k} / \varepsilon_{m k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right),  \tag{1.5}\\
& \psi_{3 k}=\Delta_{\tau k} \cot \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right) / \alpha_{m k}, \psi_{4 k}=\left[\alpha_{k}+\Delta_{\tau k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)\right] q_{k} / \alpha_{m k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right), \\
& \psi_{5 k}=-\cot \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right) \cdot q_{k}, \psi_{6 k}=q_{k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right), \psi_{7 k}=\sin \lambda / \cos \chi_{k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
q_{k}=b_{k}+\left(1-\nu_{k}\right) \tan \chi_{k}, \quad n_{m k r}=\sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)
$$

The remaining variables in (1.3), (1.4) are essentially similar to those in [5]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma^{-}=\sigma^{+}, \quad \sigma^{+}=S^{+}\left[1-\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta_{S}-k_{5}\right)\right], \quad k_{5}=\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{S}_{m k} k_{3 k}, \quad \bar{S}_{m k}=S_{m k} / S^{-}, \quad \Delta_{S}=1-S^{-} / S^{+} \\
\Delta_{\tau}^{*}=\Delta_{r}+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\alpha_{m} \Delta_{G} v_{n m}\right)_{k} k_{4 k} / v^{-}, \quad \Delta_{G k}=-G_{k} / G^{-}, \quad G_{k}=\left(\varepsilon S \rho v_{n}\right)_{m k}, \quad G^{-}=(S \rho v)^{-}, \quad \Delta_{G}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{G k} \\
\left.\Delta_{Q}=-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+S^{-}+S^{+}}{\left(G a^{2}\right)^{-}}, \tau_{n}^{*} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right) d S \\
\left.a^{2}=\gamma p / \rho, \quad \Delta_{H k}=-\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t} k}+(\varepsilon S)_{m k}}{G_{k}\left(a_{n}^{*}-\right)^{2}}, \tau_{n}^{*} \cdot \mathrm{v}\right) d S \\
\operatorname{a}_{Q}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{G k}\left(A_{H k}\left(H / a^{2}\right)^{-}-\theta_{k} \Delta_{Q k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$A_{H k}=1, \theta_{H k}=0$ for ouflow from the channel, $A_{H k}=H_{k} / H^{-}, \theta_{H k}=1$ for inflow into the channel,

$$
\Delta_{\tau}=-\frac{\int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}}+S^{-}+S^{+}} \tau_{n x}^{*} d S+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\sigma_{\mathrm{t} k}+S_{m k}} \tau_{n x}^{*} d S}{G^{-} v^{-}}
$$

$\Delta_{\tau k}$, unlike $\Delta_{r}$, are connected with the transverse components of the stress vector for the stream tubes of side branches.

Equations (1.3) describe the reaction of the gas in the channel to local finite actions: geometric $\Delta_{S}$, $\chi_{k}$, flow rate $\Delta_{G k}$, thermal $\Delta_{Q}, \Delta_{Q k}$ and friction forces $\Delta_{\tau}, \Delta_{\tau k}$. In the efflux problems under the given actions these equations are unclosed owing to $m+1$ unknown pressures $p_{\sigma}, p_{\sigma k}$ (or $\varphi_{p}, \varphi_{p k}$ ). In mass supply problems, where $p_{m k}=p_{\delta k}=p_{\sigma k}=p_{\sigma}$ [5], the group of integrals in braces in the equation of motion (1.1) vanishes except for the first and the last integrals by virtue of the known property $\int_{S_{k}} \mathbf{n} d S=0$ ( $S_{k}$ is the closed volume surface of the $k$ th side branch). In doing so, the number of unknowns $\varphi_{p k}$ in Eqs. (1.3) reduces at the expense of branches with mass supply (to the only $p_{\sigma}$ in the limit). In this case the values

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{3 k}=k_{5}=0, \quad \Delta_{\tau k}=-\left(\alpha n_{r}\right)_{m k}, \quad k_{4 k}=-\cos \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to those in (1.3)-(1.5), which is in good agreement with the results [5] of mass supply to the channel; therefore the main consideration will be given to efflux problems.
2. Under the assumption that the gas state parameters in the secton $S^{-}$are known and in $S^{+}$are sought for, solving hydrodynamic equations (1.3), carried out according to the scheme [5], results in the expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{v^{+}}{v^{-}}=\frac{K \pm \nu N}{\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)(n+1) \mathrm{M}^{-2}}, \quad \frac{\rho^{+}}{\rho^{-}}=\frac{\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)^{2}(n+1) \mathrm{M}^{-2}}{K \pm \nu N}, \\
\frac{p^{+}}{p^{-}}=\frac{K \mp \nu n N}{I(n+1)}, \quad \frac{T^{+}}{T^{-}}=\frac{(K \mp \nu n N)(K \pm \nu N)}{I\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)^{2}(n+1)^{2} \mathrm{M}^{-2}},  \tag{2.1}\\
\mathrm{M}^{+2}=\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right) I \frac{K \pm \nu N}{K \mp \nu n N}, \quad \frac{p_{\sigma}}{p^{-}}=1+\varphi_{p}\left(\frac{p^{+}}{p^{-}}-1\right), \quad \frac{p_{\sigma k}}{p_{\sigma}}=1+\varphi_{p k}\left(\frac{p_{m k}}{p_{\sigma}}-1\right) ; \\
\mathrm{M}=v / a, \quad \mathrm{M}^{-2}=\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)^{2}, \quad n=\left(1-(\gamma-1) \sigma^{+} / \gamma S^{-}\right)^{-1}, \quad I=n \sigma^{+} / \gamma S^{-}, \quad \nu=\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}-1\right), \\
K=I+n \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(\alpha^{-}-\tilde{\Delta}_{\tau}^{*}\right), \quad N=\left\{\frac{K^{2}-2\left(n^{2}-1\right) \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}(\gamma-1) \mathrm{M}^{-2} / 2\right)}{\gamma-1}\right\}^{1 / 2},  \tag{2.2}\\
c_{1}=\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)\left(1+\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{H k} \Delta_{G k}+(\gamma-1)\left(\Delta_{Q}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \theta_{H k} \Delta_{G k} \Delta_{Q k}\right)\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
c_{2}=\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)\left(\beta^{-}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} A_{H k} \Delta_{G k}\right), \quad \tilde{\Delta}_{\tau}^{*}=\Delta_{\tau}^{*}+\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(1-p_{\delta k} / p^{-}\right) \bar{S}_{m k} k_{3 k}}{\gamma \mathrm{M}^{-2}}
$$

In relations (2.1), for the low sign choice, the possibility of normal shock is provided in addition to the actions mentioned above. Introducing the notation below for a part of the actions

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}=\left\{\mathrm{M}^{-}, \Delta_{G k}, \Delta_{Q}, \Delta_{Q k}, \Delta_{\tau}, \Delta_{\tau k}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the general structure of the solution for an arbitrary hydrodynamic parameter $\psi$ can be presented in the form

$$
\psi^{+} / \psi^{-}=f\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}, \varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right), \varphi_{p k}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)\right) .
$$

Relations (2.1) are regarded to be an intermediate step of the solution because they have unknowns $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$ which are assumed to be functions of all possible actions.
3. The determination of $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$, as in [5], is based on the possibility of describing the thermodynamic function $\sigma_{p}=p_{0}^{+} / p_{0}^{-}$( $p_{0}$ is the drag pressure) in two ways. The first way (with the use of two thermodynamic laws written for a medium in the considered volume) yields the same relations as in [5] and suggests that the function $\sigma_{P}^{(1)}$ does not depend explicitly on the geometric characteristics of the channel (jump of the area of the channel section, orientation of side branches here) and is a function of the actions $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}^{(1)}=f_{1}(X) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the form of the function $f_{1}$ is not determined within the framework of the approach, and (3.1) has a qualitative character.

On the other hand, three conservation laws of hydrodynamics in the form of (2.1) give

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{p}^{(2)} & =\frac{\pi\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)}{I(n+1)}(K \mp \nu n N)\left(1+\frac{n-1}{2} \frac{K \pm \nu N}{K \mp \nu n N}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)}  \tag{3.2}\\
{\left[\pi(\mathrm{M})=(\tau(\mathrm{M}))^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)}, \tau(\mathrm{M})\right.} & \left.=\left(1+(\gamma-1) \mathrm{M}^{2} / 2\right)^{-1}\right], \text { hence } \\
\sigma_{p}^{(2)} & =f_{2}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}, \varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right), \varphi_{p k}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The dependence of the function $f_{2}$ on the arguments $X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}$, and the variables $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$ is defined by relation (3.2).

Also, it is obvious that $\sigma_{p}^{(1)}$ and $\sigma_{p}^{(2)}$ determine one and the same quantity, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}^{(1)}=\sigma_{p}^{(2)} \quad \text { or } \quad d \sigma_{p}^{(1)}-d \sigma_{p}^{(2)}=0 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the problem is reduced to the choice of the functions $\varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)$ and $\varphi_{p k}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)$, such that the function $\sigma_{p}^{(2)}$ is independent of $\Delta_{S}$ and $\chi_{k}$. One of the possible ways of finding $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$, which was used earlier in [5], is as follows: the differences of partial differentials of these functions and independence of the actions $X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}$, substantiated in [5], yield a system of partial differential equations, which provides independence of the function $\sigma_{p}^{(2)}$ in (3.2) of geometic actions $\Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{p}}{\partial \Delta_{S}}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p k}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{p k}}{\partial \Delta_{S}}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \Delta_{S}}, \quad \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{p}}{\partial \chi_{j}}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p k}} \frac{\partial \varphi_{p k}}{\partial \chi_{j}}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \chi_{j}}, \quad j=1, m \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, relations (3.5) or the original condition (3.4) are analytical expressions of the hypothesis that the thermodynamic function $\sigma_{p}$ does not depend explicitly on geometric actions taken as independent variables and extend previous results [5] to a greater number of actions. The solutions of (3.5) with respect to $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$, together with (2.1), describe the reaction of the flow in the channel to local finite actions $X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}$, forming a closed system of equations.

The investigation of the type of system of differential equations (3.5), carried out according to the scheme [7], has revealed that these equations are hyperbolic in the entire possible range of flow velocities and hence initial conditions must be specified to solve the system. In this case, any directions in the space of the
variables $\Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}$ are characteristic ones. Specifically, for $m$ equal branches $\left(\chi_{k}=\chi\right)$ the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{S} & =\text { const }: & m \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p k}} d \varphi_{p k}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p}} d \varphi_{p}=-m \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \chi} d \chi, \\
\chi & =\text { const }: & m \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p k}} d \varphi_{p k}+\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \varphi_{p}} d \varphi_{p}=-\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial \Delta_{S}} d \Delta_{S} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Alternative methods of finding $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$ are examined below, first in the case of an incompressible liquid. Expanding the functions in Eqs. (2.1) and (3.2) in a series in powers of Mach numbers (in the vicinity of $M=0$ and retaining terms up to and including $M^{2}$ ) yields the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{v^{+}}{v^{-}}=\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right), \quad \frac{p^{+}}{p^{-}}=1+\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(\delta^{*}+c_{1} \Delta_{S}\right) / \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\left(1-\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta_{S}-k_{5}\right)\right), \\
\frac{p_{\sigma}}{p^{-}}=1+\varphi_{p}\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(\delta^{*}+c_{1} \Delta_{S}\right) / \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\left(1-\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta_{S}-k_{5}\right)\right), \quad \delta^{*}=\alpha^{-}-\Delta_{r}^{*}-c_{1}, \\
\frac{p_{\sigma k}}{p^{-}}=\varphi_{p k} \frac{p_{m k}}{p^{-}}+\left(1-\varphi_{p k}\right) \frac{p_{\sigma}}{p^{-}}, \quad \frac{\rho^{+}}{\rho^{-}}=1, \quad c_{1}=\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)^{2}  \tag{4.1}\\
\mathrm{Eu}^{-}=1 / \gamma \mathrm{M}^{-2}, \quad \Delta_{\tau}^{*}=\Delta_{\tau}-\sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha_{m k} \Delta_{G k}^{2} k_{4 k} / \bar{S}_{m k} \varepsilon_{m k} \\
\sigma_{p}^{(2)}=\frac{2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}+2\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(\delta^{*}+c_{1} \Delta_{S}\right) /\left(1-\varphi_{p}\left(\Delta_{S}-k_{5}\right)\right)+c_{1}\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)^{2}}{1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}} \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

which for $\chi_{k}=0$ and $x_{D k}^{\prime}=0\left(k_{1 k}=k_{2 k}=1, k_{3 k}=k_{4 k}=k_{5 k}=0\right)$ become identical to those in [5]. For the latter we shall first indicate new ways of obtaining $\varphi_{p}$. In particular, as in [8], rearranging the variables that are dependent on the action $\Delta_{S}$ and independent of it ( $\sigma_{p}$ included) in the different parts of the equation allows the conclusion that both sides of the equation are a certain function $\lambda(X)$ of the actions $X$, the value of which is easily determined $\left(\lambda(X)=0\right.$ for $\Delta_{S}=0$, hence $\lambda(X)=0$ for any $\left.\Delta_{S}\right)$ :

$$
\sigma_{p}\left(1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\right)-2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}-2 \delta-c_{1}=\Delta_{S}\left[-2 \delta-c_{1} \Delta_{S}+\varphi_{p}\left(\sigma_{p}\left(1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\right)-2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}-\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)^{2} c_{1}\right)\right] .
$$

This method gives values of $\sigma_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p}$ coinciding with the solution of the differential equation for $\varphi_{p}$ [5], subject to the initial condition. In the second method, the hypothesis that $\sigma_{P}^{(2)}$ is independent of $\Delta_{S}$ is used and the values depending on $\Delta_{S}$ are excluded from the function $f_{2}$. As a result, the expression for $\sigma_{p}$ ( $\sigma_{p}=f_{20}$ ) is obtained immediately and the function $\varphi_{p}$ is found in a finished form from the solution of the algebraic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{s}\right)\right)-f_{20}=0 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both of the above methods give the same results. Equation (4.3) can be considered therewith as a partial integral of the differential equation for $\varphi_{p}$ in [5].

The successive application of any of these methods to (4.2) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{p}=\frac{2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}+2 \delta+c_{1}}{1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}}, \quad \varphi_{p}=\frac{c_{1} \Delta_{S}+2 \delta}{c_{1} \Delta_{S}\left(2-\Delta_{S}\right)+2 \delta^{\prime}}, \quad \delta=a^{-}-\Delta_{r}-c_{1}, \\
\varphi_{p k}=\varepsilon_{m k}\left[Q_{2 k}-2 \Delta_{r k} \Delta_{G k}^{2} \cos \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)\right] / q_{k}\left[Q_{1 k}+2 \Delta_{\tau k} \Delta_{G k}^{2} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)\right],  \tag{4.4}\\
Q_{1 k}=2 \alpha_{m k} \Delta_{G k}^{2}-\left(1-\varepsilon_{m k}\right) \bar{S}_{m k}^{2}\left(c_{1} \Delta_{S}+2 \delta\right), \quad Q_{2 k}=\bar{S}_{m k}^{2}\left(c_{1} \Delta_{S}+2 \delta\right)\left(q_{k}-\tan \chi_{k}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Relations (4.4) do not contain unknown functions, satisfy Eqs. (3.5) (this is verified by direct substitution), and hence can be considered as a partial integral of Eqs. (3.5).

Relations (4.4) leads to the essential (and natural) conclusion that the average pressures $p_{\sigma}$ (or $\varphi_{p}$ ) at the channel side wall depend only on summary actions ( $\Delta_{G}$ and $\Delta_{r}$ here) and are independent of local ones (in terms of belonging to an individual branch), $\chi_{k}$, for example. Such a dependence can occur only implicitly
due to the change in the total flow rate $\Delta_{G}$ (following the change in $\Delta_{G k}$ ) and $\Delta_{r}$. In turn, $\varphi_{p k}$ depends on the characteristics of its "own" branch, and the rest of the branches affect it only through the total actions.

The obtained values $\varphi_{p}$ and $\varphi_{p k}$ make it possible to determine the functions in (1.5) for an incompressible liquid (for brevity, written out here for $\Delta_{\tau k}=0$ ) in the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
k_{1 k}=Q_{1 k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right) / Q_{k}, \quad k_{2 k}=\left\{Q_{1 k} \sin \lambda+\cos \chi_{k} \cos \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)\left[q_{k} Q_{1 k}-\varepsilon_{m k} Q_{2 k}\right]\right\} / Q_{k} \cos \chi_{k} \\
k_{3 k}=2 \alpha_{m k} \Delta_{G k}^{2}\left(q_{k}-\tan \chi_{k}\right) / Q_{k}, \quad k_{4 k}=Q_{2 k} / Q_{k}, \quad Q_{k}=Q_{1 k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)-Q_{2 k} \cos \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and to find finally the hydrodynamic parameters (4.1) as functions of the actions.
5. The one-dimensional flow treatment for the efflux of an ideal liquid from a channel, beginning with the inlet section $S_{k}^{-}$of a side branch, results in flow "insensitivity" to the angle at which the mass is removed from the channel [5]. The abandonment of this assumption implies expansion of the boundaries of the fluid volume considered in the side branches up to the sections, where the assumption that the flow is one-dimensional becomes more justified, for example, in the minimal section of the jet in the separation zone (see Fig. 1). In this case, the channel flow parameters change with a change in the angle $\chi_{k}$ at which the mass is removed. The cost for the appearance of "sensitivity" of the flow is an increase from one to $m+1$ in the number of unknowns in the equations of hydrodynamics, and the action itself is realized by the values $b_{k}$ (1.2) (or $x_{D k}^{\prime}$, see Fig. 1). An approximate method for finding the distance from the point of flow separation to the minimal jet section in a side branch for an ideal incompressible liquid is presented below.

The following assumptions are used: the flow in the branch is plane and nonturbulent in the vicinity of the boundary streamline $A D$, the flow in the minimal section is homogeneous and parallel to the axis of the branch, and the pressure $p_{\delta k}$ in the separation zone is constant and is the same as the pressure $p_{m k}$ in the minimal jet section (therewith $v=v_{m k}=$ const on lines $A D, D C$ ). Under these conditions, the complex potential $W$ and the complex velocity $\xi=(Q / v a)_{m k} d W / d z$ can be introduced in the neighborhood of the boundary $A D$. Here $W, \xi, z=\bar{x}^{\prime}+i \bar{y}^{\prime}$ are normalized by the flow rate through the branch $Q_{m k}, v_{m k}$, and $a_{m k}$ respectively. In the hodograph plane, an arc of a sector with an angle $\theta_{0}$ corresponds to the streamline $\psi_{A D}$. Taking $\psi_{A D}=0$ and using the definition of the complex velocity and the function [9]

$$
W=(\xi+1 / \xi) / 2
$$

which maps a circle arc in the plane $\xi$ onto an interval on the real axis in the plane $W$, one can establish a relation $z(W)$ with the following real and imaginary parts:

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \pi k-\theta_{0}-\sin \left(2 \pi k-\theta_{0}\right) \cos \left(2 \pi k-\theta_{0}\right)=\beta 2\left(1-\varepsilon_{m k}\right) \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right) / \varepsilon_{m k} \\
\bar{x}_{D k}^{\prime}=x_{D k}^{\prime} / a_{m k}=\varepsilon_{m k} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0} / 2 \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right), \quad k \in N \tag{5.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right)=1$ for an incompressible liquid, $\beta=-1$ for $k \leqslant 0$ and $\beta=1$ for $k>0$ (a sequence of values $k$ is chosen from the condition of monotone behavior of $\left.\varepsilon_{m k}\right) ; \varepsilon_{m k}=(Q / v a)_{m k}$ is the flow reducing ratio, related to the flow rate action as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{G k}=-\varepsilon_{m k} \bar{S}_{m k} \mu_{k}, \quad \mu_{k}=v_{m k} / v^{-}=\left(1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\left(1-p_{m k} / p^{-}\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the relations (5.1), (5.2) establish a link of $x_{D k}^{\prime}$ with the flow rate action. In doing so, with the help of the circulation $2 \pi k, a$ "turbulent zone" in the vicinity of the entrance into the side branch is simulated. The occurrence of the zone is accompanied by a flow rate drop in the branch [10, 11].

On the whole, the relations in Secs. 4 and 5 make it possible to determine explicitly the state parameters of the channel flow of an incompressible liquid under any local actions (being taken into account here). In some cases, experimental data on hydraulic loss $\zeta_{3}$ in fitted triple-branches [12] can be useful for taking into account the actions $\Delta_{T}$. Thus, for a flow in a channel, as in [5], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{3}=1-c_{1}-2 \delta=2\left(1-\alpha^{-}\right)+\Delta_{G}\left(2+\Delta_{G}\right)+2 \Delta_{\tau} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

6. In the case of a compressible liquid, an effective way of finding $\sigma_{p}, \varphi_{p}$, and $\varphi_{p k}$ is the last approach considered by the method of Sec. 4 , which leads to the following relations (the case of identical branches is
considered for brevity):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{p}=f_{20}(X)=\frac{\pi\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)}{\gamma+1}\left(K_{0} \mp \nu \gamma N\right)\left(1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \frac{K_{0} \pm \nu N_{0}}{K_{0} \mp \nu \gamma N_{0}}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)},  \tag{6.1}\\
K_{0}=1+\gamma \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(\alpha^{-}-\Delta_{\tau}\right), \quad N_{0}=\left\{K_{0}^{2}-2(\gamma+1) \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}(\gamma-1) \mathrm{M}^{-2} / 2\right)\right\}^{1 / 2} ; \\
f_{2}^{0}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}\right)\right)-f_{20}(X)=0, \quad f_{2}^{0}=\frac{\pi\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)}{I^{0}\left(n^{0}+1\right)}\left(K^{0} \mp \nu n^{0} N^{0}\right)\left(1+\frac{n^{0}-1}{2} \frac{K^{0} \pm \nu N^{0}}{K^{0} \mp \nu n^{0} N^{0}}\right)^{\gamma /(\gamma-1)}, \\
K^{0}=I^{0}+n^{0} \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(\alpha^{-}-\Delta_{r}\right), \quad N^{0}=\left\{K^{0^{2}}-2\left(n^{0^{2}}-1\right) \mathrm{M}^{-2}\left(c_{1}+c_{2}(\gamma-1) / 2\right) /(\gamma-1)\right\}^{1 / 2},  \tag{6.2}\\
n^{0}=\gamma\left(1+(\gamma-1) \varphi_{p} \Delta_{S}\right)^{-1}, \quad I^{0}=\left(1-\varphi_{p} \Delta_{S}\right) /\left(1-\Delta_{S}\right)\left(1+(\gamma-1) \varphi_{p} \Delta_{S}\right) ; \\
f_{2}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}, \varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}\right), \varphi_{p k}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)\right)-f_{20}(X)=0 . \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The transcendental Eqs. (6.2) and (6.3) are solved sequentially to find $\varphi_{p}\left(X, \Delta_{S}\right)$ and $\varphi_{p k}\left(X, \Delta_{S}, \chi_{k}\right)$. The independence of $\varphi_{p}$ from the local actions $\chi_{k}$ (see Sec. 4) is taken into account in these equations.

When determining $x_{D k}^{\prime}$ for a compressible flow, in addition to and partly instead of the assumptions made earlier (Sec. 5), the following assumptions are used: the flow is stationary, the processes are adiabatic in the neighborhood of the streamline $A D, \mathrm{M}^{-}<1, \mathrm{M}_{m k} \leqslant 1$ (supersonic flows in the neighborhood of the branch entrance have curvilinear shocks [6] and require a special consideration). Under these conditions, the velocity potential and the flow function are related as follows [13]:

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x}=\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}=-\frac{\rho_{0}}{\rho} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} .
$$

Here $\rho / \rho_{0}=\rho_{m k} / \rho_{0}=\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right)=$ const $\quad[\varepsilon(\mathrm{M})$ is a gasdynamic function for the density] and in the variables $(x, y)$ can be identified with the Chaplygin function. Introducing the reduced velocity potential $\varphi_{1}=\varphi \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right)$, one can reduce the problem being examined to the earlier-solved problem (5.1), (5.2), where $\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right)<1$ and $\mu_{k}$ is defined in [5]:

$$
\mu_{k}=\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k} / \mathrm{M}^{-}\right)\left(\tau\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right) / \tau\left(\mathrm{M}^{-}\right)\right)^{(\gamma+1) / 2(\gamma-1)}
$$

7. The relations obtained, as applied to the problems of outflow from a channel (inflow into a channel), make it possible to state and solve a range of problems: determination of flow rates (taking into account jet reduction) for an efflux of incompressible and compressible liquids from a channel through an arbitrary oriented branch into a medium with a given pressure, into a closed volume, an injection of a liquid into a channel, an overflow of a liquid between crossed channels through a connective branch, finding the boundaries of these regimes of flow, theoretical values of coefficients of hydraulic losses [see (5.3) for $\Delta_{r}=0$ ], etc.

In particular, an efflux of a compressible liquid from a channel through a branch ( $m=1$ ) into a medium with a given pressure $p_{\delta k}$ is described by Eqs. (1.4) for $p_{m k}=p_{\delta k}$, by (1.5), by a part of relations (2.1) (choosing the upper sign) for the pressures $p_{\sigma}$ and $p^{+}$, by (5.1), and (6.1)-(6.3). The listed equations allow revealing the influence of the branch orientation $\chi_{k}$ on the channel flow rate $\Delta_{G}$ under the other given actions $\Delta_{\mathcal{S}}, \Delta_{\tau}, \Delta_{\tau k}, \Delta_{Q}$.

In the case of an ideal ( $\Delta_{T}=\Delta_{\tau k}=0, \alpha^{-}=1, \alpha_{m k}=1$ ), incompressible $\left[\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{M}_{m k}\right)=1\right]$ liquid, effluxing through a side branch of a channel with a constant section $\left[\Delta_{S}=0, \varphi_{p}=1\right.$, see (4.4), $p_{\sigma}=p^{+}$, see Sec. 1], the original system of equations is significantly simplified and consists of: (1.4) for $p_{m k}=p_{6 k}$; (4.1) for $p_{\sigma}$, where $\delta^{*}=1-c_{1}-\Delta_{r}^{*}$ and $\Delta_{\tau}^{*}=-\Delta_{G}^{2} k_{4 k} / S_{m k} \varepsilon_{m k}, c_{1}=\left(1+\Delta_{G}\right)^{2}$; (4.5) for $k_{1 k}, k_{2 k}, k_{4 k}$; (4.4) for $Q_{1 k}$, $Q_{2 k}$, where $q_{k}=b_{k}+\left(1-\vartheta_{k}\right) \tan \chi_{k}$ and $q_{k}=b_{k}+\left(1-\vartheta_{k}\right) \tan \chi_{k}, b_{k}=\bar{x}_{D k}^{\prime}-\vartheta_{1 k} \tan \chi_{k}$ and $\vartheta_{k}$ and $\vartheta_{1 k}$ see in Sec. 1 ; (5.2) connects the flow rate $\Delta_{G}$ and flow reducing ratio $\varepsilon_{m k}$; (5.1) connects $\bar{x}_{D k}^{\prime}$ with the flow rate $\Delta_{G}$ through an intermediate parameter $\theta_{0}$ [ $\theta_{0}$ is the deviation angle of the boundary streamline $A D$ at point $A$ of the flow separation (see Fig. 1)]. It is convenient to reduce all the above equations to a system of two equations with respect to $\theta_{0}$ and $\varepsilon_{m k}$. One of them [Eq. (5.1)] remains unmodified and allows the function
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$\theta_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{m k}\right)$ to be found, and the remaining one can be reduced to an equation with respect to $\varepsilon_{m k}$ (the index $k$ is omitted below):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{m}^{2} \bar{S}_{m}^{2} \mu^{2}-\left(2 \bar{S}_{m} \mu-\mu^{2}\right) \varepsilon_{m}-F\left(\varepsilon_{m}, \theta_{0}, \chi, \bar{S}_{m}, \mu\right)=0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{array}{r}
F=\left(\mu^{2}-1\right) / 2+f\left(2 \bar{S}_{m} \mu \varepsilon_{m}-\bar{S}_{m}^{2} \mu^{2} \varepsilon_{m}^{2}+\left(\mu^{2}-1\right) / 2\right) \\
f=\tan \chi \frac{\left(1+\bar{S}_{m}^{2}\right) \mu \varepsilon_{m}^{2}-2 \bar{S}_{m} \varepsilon_{m}}{2 \bar{S}_{m}+\mu \bar{S}_{m}^{2} \varepsilon_{m}^{2}-\left[2 \bar{S}_{m}+\left(1+\bar{S}_{m}^{2}\right) \mu\right] \varepsilon_{m}} \frac{\sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}{2}
\end{array}
$$

The result of solving the above equations is the flow reducing ratio $\varepsilon_{m k}$ represented as a function of the geometric characteristics of a side branch $\chi_{k}, \bar{S}_{m k}=S_{m k} / S^{-}$and of the complex hydrodynamic parameter $\mu_{k}=v_{m k} / v^{-}=\left(1+2 \mathrm{Eu}^{-}\left(1-p_{m k} / p^{-}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{Eu}^{-}=p^{-} / \rho\left(v^{-}\right)^{2}$. The found values $\varepsilon_{m k}$ make it possible to calculate the hydrodynamic parameters in the channel output section and the average jet pressure on the wall (CB, see Fig. 1) of the side branch (4.1), provided that $\Delta_{G}, k_{3 k}, k_{5}, k_{4 k}$ from (4.5), and $\Delta_{\tau}^{*}, \varphi_{p k}$ from (4.4) are predetermined.

One can state a problem on the efflux of an incompressible liquid from a channel through a long side branch, in which the flow separation zone is isolated from the environment and the hydraulic losses $\zeta_{1}$ are significant, if the Bernoulli integral for the real liquid and the equation of continuity are added to the above-mentioned equations.

Some of the calculation results are given in Figs. 2-4. A growth in the velocity ratio $\mu_{k}=v_{m k} / v^{-}$
increases the "sensitivity" of the flow to the angle at which mass is removed through a short branch (function $\varepsilon_{m}(\chi)$ in Fig. 2, where $\bar{S}_{m}=0.1$ and $\mu_{k}=1.2,1.4,1.8,3$ for curves $1-4$ respectively; $\varepsilon_{m}$ is given for comparison by curve 5 according to the hypothesis of [3]). For an incompressible fluid efflux through a side branch from a semi-infinite space the variable $\mu_{k}$ gives the same effect [11]. A greater "sensitivity" to the angle at which mass is removed reveals itself with a growth in the size of the opening $\bar{S}_{m}$ (function $\Delta_{G}(\chi)$ in Fig. 3, where $\mu_{k}=2$ and $S_{m}=0.1,0.3,0.5$ for curves $1-3$ respectively, the solid curves correspond to an efflux from a short branch, and the dashed curves from a long one with $\zeta_{1}=5$ ). Therewith, an increase in the hydraulic losses in a side branch (with an increase in its length) is accompanied by a decrease in the flow rate and "sensitivity" to the angle at which mass is removed from the channel. For the cases examined, the "sensitivity" almost disappears for $\zeta_{1}=5$ (which corresponds to the branch length in calibers $\sim 10^{2}$ for the quadratic resistance law). These results agree with the experiment of [2], where for an efflux through a long branch under the conditions $\bar{S}_{m}=0.0036-0.142$ and $-50^{\circ} \leqslant \chi_{k} \leqslant 40^{\circ}$ the variation $\Delta_{G}(\chi)$ of the flow rate did not fall outside the limits of the "error, permissible for practical purposes." Detailed characteristics of one typical variant $\left[S_{m}=0.5, \mu_{k}=2, p_{m k} / p^{-}=0.985\right.$, and the branch is short: (1) $\Delta_{G}$, (2) $v^{+} / v^{-}$, (3) $p_{m k} / p^{-}-1$, (4) $\left.p_{\sigma} / p^{-}-1,(5) p_{\sigma k} / p^{-}-1\right]$ are given in Fig. 4. In this case, the flow rate drops down when the branch axis deviates toward the flow, the pressure $p_{\sigma}=p^{+}$decreases, and $p_{\sigma k} \rightarrow p_{\sigma}$ when $\chi_{k} \rightarrow \pi / 2$. In certain cases, Eq. (7.1) has an explicit form of the solution, e.g., for $\chi_{k}=0$, it is reduced to a quadratic equation (as in [5] for a compressible liquid); if we assume additionally $\bar{S}_{m} \rightarrow 0$ (an efflux from an infinite half-space), then $\varepsilon_{m}=\left(\mu^{2}-1\right) / 2 \mu^{2}$, whence $\varepsilon_{m}=0$ for $\mu=1$ is the same result as in [10], and $\varepsilon_{m} \rightarrow 1 / 2$ for $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ [14] (an efflux through a nozzle from a semi-infinite volume with a stagnant liquid), as might be expected.

A generalization of the hypothesis [5] to a greater number of geometric actions, carried out in the present work, allows the conclusion that the store of mechanical energy of a flow, characterized by the pressure restoration coefficient $\sigma_{p}$, does not change under any geometric actions, considered as independent arguments of the function $\sigma_{p}$. Thus, one can state and solve, in addition to the above-mentioned problems, the problems on finding the gas state parameters in channels with axis breaks and with other possible combinations of geometric actions. The problems of mass supply, where $p_{\sigma}=p_{\delta k}=p_{m k}$, are somewhat specific. Then it follows from (1.4) that $\Delta_{\tau k}=-\left(\alpha n_{\tau}\right)_{m k}=\alpha_{m k} \sin \left(\lambda+\chi_{k}\right)$, i.e., the whole momentum, which is normal to the flow axis, is taken by friction forces [5]. The variable $\Delta_{\tau k}$ is in the list of arguments (2.3) of the function $\sigma_{p}$ (3.1). Hence the angles of mass supply are excluded from the list of geometric actions due to the unique relation with $\Delta_{\tau k}$.

On the whole, relations (2.1), (6.2), and (6.3) or their analogues for an incompressible liquid can be considered as conditions in a node of one-dimensional liquid flows that satisfy all hydrodynamic conservative laws, the second law of thermodynamics included.
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